

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/669,009 09/24/2003 242228US2 Yoshinobu Takeyama 1795 22850 12/20/2005 **EXAMINER** OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. ELLIS, SUEZU Y 1940 DUKE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 2878

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/669.009 TAKEYAMA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Suezu Ellis 2878 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Suezu Ellis. (3)Todd Baker. (2) Stephone Allen. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 14 December 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1-9 and 11-28. Identification of prior art discussed: Maeda (US 2001/0028387). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was not reached with respect to claims 14 and 26, therefore the rejection of claims 14 and 26 are still maintained. With respect to the remainder of the claims, novelty of the invention (a write clock frequency adjusting circuit controlling individual write clock generating circuits for each optical system) was discussed and applicants will consider amending claims to clarify the novelty of the invention. Maeda reference does not seem to illustrate a write clock frequency adjusting circuit controlling individual write clock generating circuits. However, the search will be updated.